



# Business Case for Battery-Electric Trucks in Portland, Oregon

## Environmental Benefits of Battery-Electric vs. Diesel Trucks

Diesel-powered vehicles are the workhorses of our economy yet they produce emissions that pose a significant public health problem. Battery-electric vehicle (BEV) emissions do not come from the tailpipe, so BEVs are considered zero-emission vehicles, and thus benefit the health of people who would otherwise be exposed to emissions along fleet routes. BEV emissions are produced from electric power plants, but most categories of emissions are lower for vehicles running on electricity generated from power plants than from diesel-powered vehicles.<sup>1</sup> For example, the carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) emissions from grid-based electricity in the Portland area would be 69.7% lower for BEVs versus comparable diesel internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles based on relative fuel greenhouse gas (GHG) intensities and drivetrain efficiencies (i.e., reduction in GHG intensity by switching from diesel to Western Electricity Coordinating Council-WECC Northwest grid kilowatt hours-kWhs).<sup>2,3,4,5</sup> Furthermore, the emissions from grid-based electricity will decrease over time, while emissions from diesel combustion will remain relatively constant on a per gallon basis.<sup>6</sup>

## Quantitative Business Case for Battery-Electric Trucks

### **Payback of BEV Incremental Cost from Operational Savings**

*Years to Simple Payback = \$ Incremental Cost / \$ Annual Operational Savings*

**Class 3-4 = 5.6 years**

**Class 5-6 = 4.9 years**

*Years to Payback with Annual Fuel Price Inflation (Diesel = 10% & Grid Electricity = 5%)*

**Class 3-4 = 4.7 years**

**Class 5-6 = 4.2 years**

## Qualitative Business Case for Battery-Electric Trucks

In addition to their short payback period, immediate operational savings, and significant emissions reductions, BEVs generate several ancillary benefits to the fleets that purchase them. The following is a list of some additional benefits associated with BEV ownership and operation:<sup>7,8,9,10</sup>

- 1) **Fuel Cost Certainty** – Electricity prices are significantly less volatile than petroleum prices;
- 2) **Consumer Preference** – Modern consumers prefer vendors who operate BEVs;
- 3) **Business Exposure** – Additional media and public attention for operating BEVs;
- 4) **Driving Performance** – Greater acceleration and torque at low power bands;
- 5) **Driver Recruitment & Retention** – Drivers prefer working for companies using latest technology;
- 6) **Energy Security** – Domestic electricity generation versus imported petroleum; and,
- 7) **Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)** – BEVs reduce air and noise pollution along fleet routes, as well as vehicle vibration and emissions exposure for fleet operators.

## Payback Analysis Data & Assumptions

This comparative payback analysis of electric trucks versus their traditional diesel ICE alternatives required several essential data. The following is a list of the data inputs that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) West Coast Collaborative (WCC) staff used to conduct this Portland-specific BEV payback analysis:

	CLASS 3-4	CLASS 5-6
<b>Costs</b> <sup>11,12,13</sup>		
Diesel ICE	64,850	78,500
Diesel ICE Registration Fees & Taxes	570	778
BEV	140,000	155,000
BEV Registration Fees & Taxes	799	1,111
Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE)	6,000	6,000
<i>Incremental Cost (BEV + EVSE vs. ICE)</i>	<i>81,379</i>	<i>82,833</i>
<b>Incentives</b> <sup>14,15</sup>		
BEV	750	750
EVSE	3,750	3,750
<b>Fuel Economy</b> <sup>16,17,18</sup>		
Diesel ICE Driving (mpg)	9.3	8.4
Diesel ICE Idling (gal/hr)	0.64	0.76
BEV Driving (kWh/mi)	0.7	1.0
BEV Idling (kWh/idle hr)	0.233	0.333
<b>Driving Behavior</b> <sup>19,20</sup>		
Vehicle Miles Traveled-VMT (mi/yr)	19,800	19,800
Idling (hrs/yr)	1,830	1,830
<b>Fuel Costs &amp; Savings</b> <sup>21,22</sup>		
Diesel (\$/gal)	4.131	4.131
Electricity (\$/off-peak kWh)	0.07	0.07
<i>Annual Savings (\$/yr – BEV vs. ICE)</i>	<i>12,633</i>	<i>14,879</i>
<b>Maintenance Costs &amp; Savings</b> <sup>23,24</sup>		
Diesel ICE Maintenance (\$/mi)	0.105	0.105
BEV Maintenance (\$/mi)	0.0525	0.0525
<i>Annual Savings (\$/yr – BEV vs. ICE)</i>	<i>1,040</i>	<i>1,040</i>
<b>CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions Reductions</b>		
Diesel Fuel (lbs/gal)	22.2	22.2
California Grid Electricity (lbs/kWh)	0.859	0.859
<i>Annual Reductions (lbs/yr – BEV vs. ICE)</i>	<i>60,993</i>	<i>65,672</i>

USEPA Staff Contact: John Mikulin – (415) 972-3956 / [mikulin.john@epa.gov](mailto:mikulin.john@epa.gov)

For more information about the West Coast Collaborative, please visit: [www.westcoastcollaborative.org](http://www.westcoastcollaborative.org)

For information on USEPA's National Clean Diesel Campaign, please visit: [www.epa.gov/diesel/](http://www.epa.gov/diesel/)

## References

- <sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Energy (2011); Hybrid and Plug-In Electric Vehicles. <http://www.afdc.energy.gov/afdc/pdfs/51017.pdf>
- <sup>2</sup> USEPA (2011); Power Profiler. <http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-and-you/how-clean.html>
- <sup>3</sup> USEPA (2005); Emission Facts: Average Carbon Dioxide Emissions Resulting from Gasoline and Diesel Fuel. <http://www.epa.gov/oms/climate/420f05001.htm>
- <sup>4</sup> Freedom Formula Foundation (2006); Relative Efficiency of Various Electric and Hybrid Drivetrains. [http://serieshybrid.com/FreedomFormula/images/Drivetrain\\_Comparison.pdf](http://serieshybrid.com/FreedomFormula/images/Drivetrain_Comparison.pdf)
- <sup>5</sup> Cuddy, M.R., Wipke, K.B. (1997) Analysis of the Fuel Economy Benefit of Drivetrain Hybridization. <http://www.nrel.gov/vehiclesandfuels/vsa/pdfs/22309.pdf>
- <sup>6</sup> Elson, W. (2010); Electric Vehicles Get Cleaner Over Time: Emissions from Energy Consumption at Power Plants in the U.S. *USEPA*.
- <sup>7</sup> Payette, M. (2011); Testimonial on Battery-Electric Truck Delivery Fleet Performance. *Staples Inc.*
- <sup>8</sup> Taylor, E. (2011); Testimonial on Battery-Electric Truck Delivery Fleet Performance. *Down East Seafood*.
- <sup>9</sup> UC Davis Institute for Transportation Studies (2007); Battery Electric Vehicles: An Assessment of the Technology and Factors Influencing Market Readiness. <http://hydrogen.its.ucdavis.edu/people/cyang/AEP/TechAssessment/BEV>
- <sup>10</sup> CALSTART (2011) E-Truck Task Force.
- <sup>11</sup> Commercial Truck Trader (2011); Truck Price Checker. <http://www.commercialtrucktrader.com/research/tools/price-checker/>
- <sup>12</sup> Oregon Department of Motor Vehicles (2011); Vehicle Title, Registration & Permit Fees. <http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/DMV/fees/vehicle.shtml>
- <sup>13</sup> CALSTART (2011); Aggregated E-Truck & EVSE Price Estimates.
- <sup>14</sup> U.S. Department of Energy (2011); Oregon Incentives and Laws for Vehicle Owner/Driver. <http://www.afdc.energy.gov/afdc/laws/laws/OR/user/3260>
- <sup>15</sup> Plug-In America (2011); State & Federal Incentives. <http://www.pluginamerica.org/why-plug-vehicles/state-federal-incentives>
- <sup>16</sup> J.D. Power & Associates (2010); U.S. Medium-Duty Truck Engine and Transmission Customer Satisfaction Study. <http://www.jdpower.com/news/pressRelease.aspx?ID=2010216>
- <sup>17</sup> Argonne National Laboratory (2005); How Much Could You Save by Idling Less? <http://www.transportation.anl.gov/pdfs/TA/361.pdf>
- <sup>18</sup> National Renewable Energy Laboratory (2007); Battery Choices and Potential Requirements for Plug- In Hybrids. <http://www.nrel.gov/vehiclesandfuels/energystorage/pdfs/41328.pdf>
- <sup>19</sup> Chambers, N. (2010); "Navistar Begins Production of Its All-Electric 2-Ton Truck." *Matter Network*. <http://www.matternetwork.com/2010/5/navistar-begins-production-its-all.cfm>
- <sup>20</sup> Washington State University Extension Energy Program (2003); Idling Restrictions. <http://www.energy.wsu.edu/ftp-ep/pubs/renewables/IdlingRestrictions.pdf>
- <sup>21</sup> Gas Buddy (2011); Diesel Fuel Prices in Portland, Oregon. <http://www.portlandgasprices.com/index.aspx?fuel=D&area=Portland&dl=Y&intro=Y>
- <sup>22</sup> Portland General Electric (2011); Benefits of Electric Vehicles. [http://www.portlandgeneral.com/community\\_environment/initiatives/electric\\_vehicles/basics/benefits\\_electric\\_vehicles.aspx](http://www.portlandgeneral.com/community_environment/initiatives/electric_vehicles/basics/benefits_electric_vehicles.aspx)
- <sup>23</sup> Minnesota Department of Transportation (2003); The Per-mile Costs of Operating Automobiles and Trucks. <http://www.caf.wvu.edu/resm/faculty/borisova/TruckTrCost.pdf>
- <sup>24</sup> Bond, D (2011); Greening Your Fleet & Managing the Grant Process. *Alameda County General Services Agency*. <http://chargedsv.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Alameda-County-Presentation.Greening-Your-Fleet-Managing-the-Grant-Process-EV-Symposium.pdf>